

# Introduction to High-Efficiency Klystrons

OHO2024 (2023/9/10~11) WANG Shengchang



# Table of Contents

#### **Day 1 (9/10)**

- 1. What is a Klystron?
- 2. RF Power of a Klystron
- 3. RF Power Consumption by Large-Scale Accelerators
- 4. Key Concepts for High-Efficiency Klystrons
- 5. Space Charge in Klystrons

#### **Day 2 (9/11)**

- 6. Simulation Methods
- 7. New Bunching Methods for High-Efficiency Klystrons
- 8. Advantages of a Multi-Beam Klystron
- 9. Global Research Activities

DAY1 (9/10)

• Klystron, initially developed in the 1930s is an amplifier of microwave power.



The first commercial klystron in 1940s  $\frac{dv}{dt}$  and  $\frac{dv}{dt}$  efficiency of  $50\%$ . *[Ref.\*https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Klystron]*

- The leftmost part is an electron gun.
- The rightmost tapered part is a beam collector.
- The middle section is the interaction region, which includes two cavities and a drift tube.
- The output RF power is 200 W at a frequency of 750 MHz, and



- A klystron is composed of an electron gun region, an interaction region, and a collector region.
- The electron gun primarily includes the cathode, filament, insulating ceramic, and other components. /
- The interaction region is composed of a RF circuit, and a beam focusing magnet.
	- The RF circuit is a cavities-chain, including an input cavity, intermediate cavities, an output cavity and output window.
	- The focusing magnet is to prevent beam from spreading.
- The collector region is to stop the beam with **a cooling collector**.

#### The electron gun of a klystron/



• .The electrons gain energy and form an

electron beam with a specific energy

level.

- $\circ$  Beam voltage,  $V_0$
- $\circ$  Beam current,  $I_0$



*[Ref.\*SLAC-PUB 10620]*

- The RF circuit of a klystron
	- o It contains at least two cavities in the RF circuit.



- The beam passes through the input cavity.
- Some electrons to accelerate and others to decelerate depending on the phase of the voltage.
- This process is known as velocity modulation.

The RF circuit of a klystron



- The velocity modulation causes density modulation through the drift tunnel, forming electron bunches.
- These bunches induce RF in successive cavities, producing gap voltages.
- The velocity modulation is then reinforced, and density modulation is strengthened, to form a well-bunched beam.

The RF circuit of a klystron



.In simple terms, a high-efficiency klystron transfers more power in the interaction region rather than losing it in the collector region.

The well-bunched beam induces a great RF power at the **output cavity** and decelerates. /

• Depend on the number of electron beams contained in the klystron, it can be classified to a single-beam klystron(SBK) and multi-beam klystron(MBK).



One cathode for SBK *[Ref.\*A. Rehman, arXiv: Accelerator Physics,2015]*



Multiple cathodes for MBK



- .Some important parameter of a klystron
	- o Gain(Power Gain)

$$
G = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_{out}}{P_{in}}
$$

- $P_{in}$  is the drive RF power to input cavity.
- $P_{out}$  is the output RF power of the klystron
- $\Box$  For example, power gain of a S-band pulsed high power klystron could be higher than 50 dB,  $(500 \text{ W} \rightarrow 50 \text{ MW})$
- o Efficiency

$$
\eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_0}
$$

 $P_0$  is the beam power  $(V_0 * I_0)$ .



## RF Power of a Klystron

• Klystrons are widely adopted by particle accelerators as RF power sources./



Schematic blocks of an RF system in a linac accelerator *[Ref. \*S. Matsumoto, OHO 2017]*



# RF Power of a Klystron

• Driven by decades of evolving requirements from particle accelerators, klystrons for scientific use have seen significant advancements in both pulsed output power and average output power. /





E3730A *[Ref.\*https://etd.canon/en/product/cat egory/microwave/klystron.html]*

- The KEK  $e^-/e^+$  Injector Linac operates with 60 pulsed 50 MW S-band klystrons:
	- o The efficiency of those 50 MW klystrons is 45%.
	- o The power consumed solely by these klystrons is 1.23 MW.
- If the klystron efficiency could be improved from 45% to 65%, a total power of 0.38 MW would be saved. Over an entire year of operation, this would result in an energy savings of 2,270 MWh.



*[Ref. \*https://www-linac.kek.jp/]*

- 32 CW 1.2 MW UHF-band klystrons for the rings of SuperKEKB.
- The state-of-the-art efficiency of these UHF-band klystrons is 65%, resulting in a total power consumption of 20 MW.
- If the efficiency could be improved from 65% to 80%, power of 3.7 MW would be saved  $\cdots$  covering the total power consumption of the KEK  $e^-/e^+$  Injector Linac.



*[Ref.\*https://www2.kek.jp/accl/legacy/eng/accl map\_superkekb.html]*

- International Linear Collider (ILC)
	- o L-band MBK
	- o A total of 35 MW of RF power for accelerating electrons and positrons to super-high energies.
	- o State of art efficiency of the MBK is 65%.
	- o The Klystrons waste the power of 19 MW.



- The efficiency of klystrons has become a worldwide topic
- RF power consumption is a common challenge for future largescale accelerators, such as the FCC, CLIC, and CEPC. /





A small thermal power plant generates 50~300 MW of electric power

#### Any question?



*[Ref.\*A. Jensen, et al, 25 Year Performance Review of the SLAC 5045 S-Band Klystron, 2011]*

- The principle of the electron bunching process in a klystron is based on the modulation of electron velocity (velocity modulation).
- A two cavity and one-dimensional model is employed for analysis of the velocity modulation. /
- .The space charge is not considered.



- $V_1$  represents the time-varying voltage across the cavity gap.
- $\hat{V}_1$  is the magnitude of the modulation voltage.
- $\cdot$  t is the time.
- $\omega$  is the angular frequency of sine wave voltage applied to the modulation gap.

The electron enters the modulation gap at  $t_1$  and drifts a length  $l$  downstream of the modulation gap by time  $t_2$ .



• Basic equation of velocity modulation:

$$
\omega t_2 = \omega t_1 + \theta_0 - X \sin \omega t_1
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\theta_0 = \frac{\omega l}{v_0} \\
X = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \theta_0 \\
\alpha = \frac{\hat{V}_1}{V_0}\n\end{cases}
$$

- $\theta_0$  is called the DC transit angle of the electron reaching the distance of l.
- X is called the bunching parameter.
- $\alpha$  is a depth of modulation. ( $\alpha$ <<1)

From equation  $\omega t_2 = \omega t_1 + \theta_0 - X \sin \omega t_1$  we can draw the Applegate diagram/ (The code for generating the diagram can be found in the OHO lecture)



the modulation gap of the input cavity, resulting in the electrons arriving at the output cavity in bunches. /

$$
\omega t_2 = \omega t_1 + \theta_0 - X \sin \omega t_1
$$
  
Solution  

$$
\omega t_1 = (\omega t_2 - \theta_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n} J_n(nX) \sin n(\omega t_2 - \theta_0)
$$
  

$$
I_2 = I_0 \frac{dt_1}{dt_2}
$$
  

$$
I_2 = I_0 \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2J_n(nX) \cos n(\omega t_2 - \theta_0)\right)
$$

- $I_n$  is the Bessel function of the nth order.
- $I_0$  is the DC beam current.
- $\cdot$   $I_2$  is current components in the beam downstream the modulation gap
- Once  $X = 1.84$ ,  $J_1$  reaches its maximum value of  $J_1(1.84) = 0.58$ .
- Maximum value of the fundamental component of the current will be  $i_{1,MAX} = 1.16I_0$

The theoretical maximum electron efficiency of a two-cavity klystron is

$$
\eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{i_{1MAX}}{I_0} = 58\%
$$

- Output RF power is 200 W
- Frequency is 750 MHz
- Efficiency is 50%



The first commercial klystron in 1940 *[Ref.\*https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Klystron]*



Applegate diagram of  $\omega t_2 = \omega t_1 + \theta_0 - X \sin \omega t_1$ 

• -Why not have a higher efficiency of 58% for the two cavities analysis?/



- .Peripheral electrons or "outsiders" can not enter the gap of output cavity at a right decelerating phase
- They are accelerated in the output cavity, limiting the overall electron efficiency

#### **Key concept for a high-efficiency klystron:**

To improve the efficiency of a klystron, the peripheral electrons should be collected into the bunching center as much as possible.//

 For a higher efficiency, image the ideal bunching scenario for the twocavity klystron:

o All the electrons enter output cavity gap at one phase with the maximum negative voltage.

 To achieve this, We have to change the modulating signal from a sine function to a sawtooth function.

 $V_1 = \hat{V}_1 \sin \omega t$  $V_1$  sin  $\omega t$   $V_1(t_1) = V_0$  $2\omega t_1$  $\theta_0$  $n_1 \in \left[\frac{-\pi+2n\pi}{\omega}\right],$  $\pi + 2n$  $\omega$ ,  $n = 0,1,2...$ 





Applegate diagram based on sawtooth modulation

No peripheral electrons.

- All the electrons arrive at the
- output cavity at the same phase,
- All the beam power is transferred to the RF, efficiency  $\sim 100\%$

- The sawtooth voltage is difficult to realize in an RF cavity.
- Sawtooth function can be represented as a Fourier series.



- We get a new modulating function composed by a fundamental sine function, and its 2nd and 3rd harmonics.
- Expecting to get a higher efficiency with the new modulating function.



From the comparison:

- The peripheral electrons are effectively collected into the bunching center
- A high efficiency, by applying a combination of the fundamental, 2nd, and 3rd harmonics.

So far, the two-cavity kinematic theory has not included space charge, limiting its applicability for designing a (high power) two-cavity klystron. However, some concepts derived from the above discussion are still beneficial for designing a highefficiency klystron:

- 1) To achieve a high-efficiency klystron, peripheral electrons should be collected into the bunching center as much as possible./
- 2) .Bunching with harmonics improves efficiency by helping to collect peripheral electrons.

- The two-cavity ballistic theory dose not consider the space charge, but the bunching is strongly affected by space charge.
- The space charge wave theory introduced the plasma frequency  $\omega_p$

$$
\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{e\rho_0}{m \varepsilon_0}}
$$

- $\rho_0$  is the uniform electron density in a free space
- $\varepsilon_0$  is the vacuum permittivity



• The longitudinally modulated electron beam can be viewed as an oscillating plasma in a free space, with a plasma frequency  $\omega_p$ 



*[Ref. \*A.S. Gilmore, "Klystrons, Traveling Wave Tubes, Magnetrons, Cross-Field Amplifiers, and Gyrotrons"]*

Considering plasma in a conductive tunnel, the plasma frequency  $\omega_p$  is modified to the reduced plasma frequency  $\omega_q$  by multiplying it by a plasma reduction factor  $R$ 



 Basic equation can be modified by multiply a factor of  $\int_{\beta} \beta_{p} l$ , to include the space charge effect.

 $\omega t_2 = \omega t_1 + \theta_0 - \frac{\sin \beta_q l}{\beta_l}$ 



- The Applegate diagram with space charge effect.
- $\lambda_q/4$  The phase traces of electrons are bent. /
	- .The electrons are pushed back before they can overtake each other--- the "anti-bunch effect"
	- Length to the bunch core is a quarter of the reduced plasma wavelength  $\lambda_q/4$

 $\cdot$ . The beam (micro) perveance  $\mu$ P is an important parameter that indicates the magnitude of the space charge effect in a beam

$$
\mu P = 10^6 \frac{I_0}{V_0^{3/2}}
$$

 An inverse relationship between the beam perveance and the efficiency of a klystron. (Empirical relationship)



- The beam perveance is of great importance in the wider usage of vacuum devices involving electron beams./
- Microwave tubes (klystron, IOT, TWT, etc.) are located in a region with a perveance range from  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-6}$  $A/V^{3/2}$  and a maximum beam current density from 10−1 to  $10^2$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- The beam with an original radius of  $r<sub>o</sub>$  expands to a radius of  $2r<sub>o</sub>$  after drifting a length of  $L$ .



*[Ref. \*S. Fukuda, ILC School*‐*High Efficiency RF Source]*

- The space charge effect is the most dominating factor influencing the new bunching methods.
- Due to its complexity, computer simulation is the most reliable tool for designing a high-efficiency klystron.

DAY1 (9/11)

 One-dimensional disk model programs are among the most popular simulation tools, such as JPNDISK, AJDISK.



- The electron beam is divided into multiple electron layers, or disks.
- All electrons within the disk undergo the same longitudinal motion.
- Transverse motion is not considered (one-dimensional).
- The space charge force is treated as electric forces



the result from the disk simulation.

#### • Example of one-dimensional disk code--AJDISK



- Particle-in-Cell (PIC) simulation is most sophisticated tool for klystron design.
	- o Allowing a realistic 2D/3D boundary
	- o CST, EMSYS…/



#### Example of 3-D PIC simulation--CST

- o Time-dependent beam motion
- o Realistic cavities boundary



- Example of 3-D PIC simulation--CST
	- o Time-dependent drive signal and resulted output signal



- Core Oscillation Method (COM) [A. Y. Baikov]
	- $\circ$  A gentle bunch core oscillation, due to modulation and space charge forces
	- $\circ$  Peripheral electrons slowly approach the bunching center, during the core oscillation.
	- o After several core oscillation processes, the peripheral electrons enter bunching center.



- Traditional bunching
- Gives up the peripheral electrons
- Short interaction section



Peripheral

electrons

Bunch

center

electrons Peripheral electrons

COM bunching

- Repeated and gentle core oscillation
- Peripheral electrons slowly enter bunching center
- Very long interaction section



- Bunching-Alignment-Collecting (BAC) [I. A. Guzilov]
	- o Uses additional cavities to actively control core oscillation and collect peripheral electrons/
	- Bunching--- a fundamental resonant cavity to achieve electron bunching
	- Alignment--- corrects the velocity of the bunching center electrons

Collection--- a second harmonic cavity, exerts a strong bunching effect on peripheral electrons



- Core Stabilization Method (CSM) [C. Victoria, et al]
	- o Employing 2nd and 3rd harmonic cavities to rapidly incorporate peripheral electrons into the bunching center while reducing the space charge forces at the bunching center. /
	- o .Suitable for L-band or UHF-band klystrons
	- o Suitable for low-perveance electron beams



- Adiabatic Bunching (Kladistron) [F. Peauger]
	- o Bunching process by numerous low characteristic impedance resonant cavities
	- o Each cavity has a low cavity voltage---minor velocity modulation for the electrons/



*[Ref.\*F. Peauger, EnEfficiency RF sources Workshop, 2014]*

.For the left example:

- A total 20 cavities are used for the layout
- Similar to an RFQ cavity and the phase diagram of protons in an RFQ cavity.

Two-stage method [V. E. Teryaev]

o Adopting two DC accelerating gaps for a klystron

o Achieving an ultra-low beam perveance after the second acceleration/



.Technical challenges:

- RF feed to the input cavity on the high voltage state.
- Insulation of the second accelerating gap while preventing the RF leak.
- Beam focusing magnet that accommodate the two-stage structure.

*[Ref.\*V. E. Teryaev, et.al, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2020]*

### Depressed Collector

- The depressed collector is used to enhance the overall efficiency of a klystron
- Unlike a normal collector, where the spent beam hits the wall and dissipates heat, the depressed collector aims to recover the power of the spent beam and convert it back into electrical power.



*[Ref. \*S. Fukuda, ILC School*‐*High Efficiency RF Source]*

### Advantages of a Multi-Beam Klystron

- Single-beam klystrons (SBK): most common type, simple structure, a range of applications
- Multi-beam klystrons (MBK): multiple cathodes, a more complex overall structure, a greater potential for high efficiency.

$$
\eta = 78 - 16 \times \mu P \qquad \qquad \mu = 10^6 \frac{I_0}{V_0^{3/2}}
$$

• SBK: higher-efficiency  $\rightarrow$  lower perveance  $\rightarrow$  higher gun voltage  $\rightarrow$ higher risk on operational stability

$$
V_0 = \left(\frac{P_{\text{out}}}{\eta N_b \mu P * 10^{-6}}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}}
$$

- $N_h$  is the number of electron beams
- MBK: higher-efficiency  $\rightarrow$  lower perveance and acceptable gun volage

## Advantages of a Multi-Beam Klystron

 Comparison of perveance and beam voltage between S-band pulsed SBK and MBK/



• .MBK can achieve the dual advantages of low perveance and acceptable electron gun voltage.

#### The existing high-efficiency klystrons





Input and intermediate cavities



**Output cavity** 

*[Ref.\*[32] I. Guzilov, CLIC Workshop 2019]*



*[Ref.\*T. Anno, CLIC Workshop 2019]*

#### CANON MBK for CLIC project THALES MBK for CLIC project





*[Ref.\*T. Anno, CLIC Workshop 2019]*

*[Ref.\*S. Döbert,, CLIC workshop 2018]*







CANON E3736

*[Ref.\*Y.H. Chin, Proceedings of LINAC08, Victoria, BC, Canada, 2008]*

#### The existing high-efficiency klystrons



- Research activities at KEK
	- o An S-band high-efficiency MBK is currently under development to modernize the existing 50MW klystron utilized in the KEK  $e^-/e^+$ Injector Linac.
	- o The RF-section of this MBK is designed to achieve a target efficiency of 73%, improving from 45% of the current 50 MW klystron.



Research activities at KEK



- Research activities at KEK
	- o Based on a 7.5 MW klystron E3772A from CANON, a S-band two-stage klystron is designed.







- Research activities at CERN
	- o CERN collaborating with CANON, is developing the X-band high-efficiency klystron.
	- o The prototypes are based on CANON E37113.
	- o The efficiency is improved from 39% to 56% after testing several protypes.





First prototype *[Ref.\* T. Anno, Workshop on Efficient RF Sources, 2022]*

- Research activities at CERN
	- o A CW UHF-band klystron is under development as a spare unit for the TH2169 Thales, which operates at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC).
	- o The designed efficiency of the new klystron is improved from 62% to 70 %.
	- o The CSM bunching method.



*[Ref.\*N. C. Lasheras, et al, 15th International Particle Accelerator Conference, 2024]*

- Research activities at CERN
	- o For the future FCC project, CERN presents a design of a CW UHF-band MBK operating at 400MHz and an output level of 1 MW.
	- $\circ$  The design adopts the two-stage method and the efficiency from simulation is 82%.



- Research activities at CEA
	- o CEA, with a collaboration of Thales, has developed a klystron prototype adopting the Adiabatic Bunching method.
	- o The Thale TH2166 was chosen for retrofitting as a demonstration of the Adiabatic Bunching.
	- o The original 6 cavities were increased to 16 cavities. /
	- o .The prototype was tested, with the highest measured efficiency at 41%, compared to the 50% efficiency of the TH2166.//









Fig. 11. Left: partial cavities assembly. Center: interaction line and output waveguide ready for brazing. Right: tube closed.

*[Ref.\*J. Plouin, et al, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2023]*

- Research activities at CPI
	- o CPI is developing an X-band 50MW high-efficiency klystron.
	- o CPI proposed a design in 2022 that achieves over 60% efficiency in simulations.
	- o The second harmonic cavities and multi-cell output cavity are used to improve the efficiency.



- Research activities at Calabazas Creek Research Inc
	- o Calabazas Creek Research Inc. is developing an L band CW klystron with an output power of 100 kW.
	- o The COM bunching method is adopted for this design.



- Research activities at IHEP
	- o UHF-band CW klystrons with output level of 800 KW for the CEPC project
	- o Both single beam and multi-beam design are launched.
	- o The CSM bunching method is adopted to improve efficiency, meaning that both second harmonic and third harmonic cavities are used in the RF section





### Summary

- · Introduction of the motivation, background of enhancing klystron efficiency.
- Discussion of the basics of a klystron, highlighting concepts critical to high-efficiency klystron design.
- Review of various bunching methods for improving klystron efficiency.
- . Review of the global research activities of highefficiency klystron.